EE540 Advance Electromagnetic Theory & Antennas

Prof. Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum Dept. of EEE, IIT Guwahati, India



• Near fields (magnetic) of Hertz dipole

•
$$H_{\phi nf} = \frac{I_0 dl e^{+j\omega t} e^{-j\beta r} sin\theta}{4\pi r^2}$$

• Analogy with Biot Savart's law

•
$$\vec{B}_{BSL} = \frac{\mu_0 I_0 d\vec{l} \times \hat{r}}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{\mu_0 I_0 dl \hat{z} \times \hat{r}}{4\pi r^2}$$

- Note that the current element is directed along z-axis
- We do analysis in spherical coordinates, hence
- $\hat{z} = \cos\theta \hat{r} \sin\theta \hat{\theta}$
- Therefore $\hat{z} \times \hat{r} = sin\theta\hat{\phi}$

- Hence Biot Savart's law becomes
- $\vec{B}_{BSL} = \frac{\mu_0 I_0 d\vec{l} \times \hat{r}}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{\mu_0 I_0 dlsin\theta \hat{\phi}}{4\pi r^2}$ • Therefore, $\vec{H}_{BSL} = \frac{I_0 dlsin\theta \hat{\phi}}{4\pi r^2}$
- Near fields (magnetic) of Hertz dipole for $\omega \rightarrow 0$, $\beta \rightarrow 0$

•
$$H_{\phi nf} \cong \frac{I_0 dlsin\theta}{4\pi r^2} = H_{BSL}$$

 Magnetic field of a Hertz dipole is like the steady induction magnetic field produced by a current carrying element of length dl



• Near fields (electric) of Hertz dipole

•
$$\vec{E}_{nf} = \frac{2I_0 dl e^{+j\omega t} e^{-j\beta r} cos\theta}{j\omega \varepsilon 4\pi r^3} \hat{r} + \frac{I_0 dl e^{+j\omega t} e^{-j\beta r} sin\theta}{j\omega \varepsilon 4\pi r^3} \hat{\theta}$$

• The electric field due to an electric dipole

•
$$\vec{E}_{dipole} = \frac{2Qdlcos\theta}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^3}\hat{r} + \frac{Qdlsin\theta}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^3}\hat{\theta}$$

• Note that
$$\frac{1}{j\omega} (I_0 e^{+j\omega t}) = Q = \int I_0 e^{+j\omega t} dt$$

• Therefore,

•
$$\vec{E}_{nf} \cong \vec{E}_{dipole}$$

• The electric field of a Hertz dipole in near field is like that of a electric dipole of the same length dl



- Small antennas are a necessity for portable devices
- For example,
- We need small antennas for mobile devices
- Smaller antenna is an attractive feature
- But the fundamental question remains
- Can we keep on reducing antenna size?
 - Is there some limit beyond which we can reduce the antenna size?
- Consider a smallest sphere which enclose a small antenna as shown in next slide



Fig. Smallest sphere which can enclose a small antenna

Prof. Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

10/17/2020

- There is a very important concept on designing electrically small antennas
- When kr<1 (electrically small antennas),
- the quality factor Q of a small antenna can found from the J. L. Chu's relation

$$Q = \frac{1 + 2(kr)^{2}}{(kr)^{3} \{1 + (kr)^{2}\}} \bullet e_{rad}$$

- where k is the wave number and
- r is the radius of the smallest sphere enclosing the antenna

- The above relation gives the relationship between the antenna size, efficiency and quality factor.
- This expression can be reduced further for smallest Q for a LP very small antenna (kr<<1) as follows:

$$Q_{\min} = \frac{1}{\left(kr\right)^3} + \frac{1}{kr}$$

• Harrington gave also a practical upper limit to the gain of a small antenna for a reasonable BW as

$$G_{\max} = \left(kr\right)^2 + 2\left(kr\right)$$

10/17/2020

- CASE STUDY of a small antenna
- for a Hertz dipole of
 - very small length 0.01λ ,
 - Q_{min} =32283
- It has very high Q and
 - hence a very narrow FBW (0.000031) (very narrow)
- Gmax=0.0638 or -12dB (very small no practical use)
- Note that in the above calculations $r{=}0.005\lambda$ has been used



- Very small antenna like Hertz dipole has no practical use
- For practical use, we need to have a sufficiently large antenna
 - Antenna size,
 - quality factor,
 - bandwidth and
 - radiation efficiency
 - is interlinked
- There is no complete freedom to optimize each one of them independently
- Employ different technologies such as metamaterials, EBG, SSPP, etc. to design antennas with better performance