- Convention:
- Integer time and space step for E
- Half time step for H
- Half space step for H
- Hx(i,j+1/2), Hx(i,j-1/2)
- Hy(i+1/2,j), Hx(i-1/2,j)

• Update equation for Hy

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x} - \sigma_x H_y \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} \left\{ H_y^{n+1/2} \left(i + 1/2, j \right) - H_y^{n-1/2} \left(i + 1/2, j \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu \Delta x} \left\{ E_z^n \left(i + 1, j \right) - E_z^n \left(i, j \right) \right\} - \frac{\sigma_x}{2} \left\{ H_y^{n+1/2} \left(i + 1/2, j \right) + H_y^{n-1/2} \left(i + 1/2 \right) \right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} + \frac{\sigma_x}{2} \right) \left\{ H_y^{n+1/2} \left(i + 1/2, j \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu \Delta x} \left\{ E_z^n \left(i + 1, j \right) - E_z^n \left(i, j \right) \right\} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} - \frac{\sigma_x}{2} \right) \left\{ H_y^{n-1/2} \left(i + 1/2, j \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

• Finally,

$$\Rightarrow H_y^{n+1/2}(i+1/2,j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} + \frac{\sigma_x}{2}\right)} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu\Delta x} \left\{ E_z^n(i+1,j) - E_z^n(i,j) \right\} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} - \frac{\sigma_x}{2}\right) \left\{ H_y^{n-1/2}(i+1/2,j) \right\} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta_x(i+1/2,j)} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu\Delta x} \left\{ E_z^n(i+1,j) - E_z^n(i,j) \right\} + \alpha_x(i+1/2,j) \left\{ H_y^{n-1/2}(i+1/2,j) \right\} \right];$$

$$\beta_x = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} + \frac{\sigma_x}{2}, \alpha_x = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} - \frac{\sigma_x}{2}\right)$$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

100

• Similarly update equation for Hx

 $\Rightarrow H_x^{n+1/2}(i, j+1/2)$ $= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} + \frac{\sigma_y}{2}\right)} \left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu \Delta y} \left\{ E_z^n(i, j+1) - E_z^n(i, j) \right\} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} - \frac{\sigma_y}{2}\right) \left\{ H_x^{n-1/2}(i, j+1/2) \right\} \right]$ $= \frac{1}{\beta_y(i, j+1/2)} \left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu \Delta y} \left\{ E_z^n(i, j+1) - E_z^n(i, j) \right\} + \alpha_y(i, j+1/2) \left\{ H_x^{n-1/2}(i, j+1/2) \right\} \right]$ $\beta_y = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} + \frac{\sigma_y}{2}, \alpha_y = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} - \frac{\sigma_y}{2}\right)$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

101

2/26/2021

 $\sigma_{y}H_{x} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}\frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial v} = \varepsilon\frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial t}$

• Update equation for split electric field may be obtained similarly



102

$$\begin{split} E_{sx,z}^{n+1}(i,j) &= \\ \frac{1}{\beta_{x}(i,j)} \bigg[E_{sx,z}^{n}(i,j) \alpha_{x}(i,j) + \frac{1}{\Delta x} \Big\{ H_{y}^{n+1/2}(i+1/2,j) - H_{y}^{n+1/2}(i-1/2,j) \Big\} \bigg] \\ E_{sy,z}^{n+1}(i,j) &= \\ \frac{1}{\beta_{y}(i,j)} \bigg[E_{sy,z}^{n}(i,j) \alpha_{y}(i,j) - \frac{1}{\Delta y} \Big\{ H_{x}^{n+1/2}(i,j+1/2) - H_{x}^{n+1/2}(i,j-1/2) \Big\} \bigg] \end{split}$$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

103

- Reflectionless theoretically,
 - may not be true for numerical simulations
- Sudden change of conductivity from 0 to that of the PML layer,
 - undesirable numerical reflections may occur
- Technique:
 - gradually change the value of $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ within the PML

- For examples,
- we can set the conductivity as an
- mth order polynomial (m=2 or 3 is a good choice)

$$\sigma_{x,y,z} = \sigma_{\max} \left(\frac{l}{L}\right)^m, m = 1, 2, \cdots$$

- l is the distance from the PML surface,
- L is the thickness of the PML and
- σ_{\max} is the maximum conductivity inside the PML

- Uniaxial PML
- Assume a diagonally anisotropic medium and write down Maxwell's equation in this medium

 $\vec{\Lambda} = diag(a, b, c)$ $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -j\omega\mu_0 \vec{\Lambda} \vec{H}; \nabla \times \vec{H} = j\omega\varepsilon_0 \vec{\Lambda} \vec{E};$ $\nabla \bullet \vec{D} = \nabla \bullet (\vec{\Lambda} \vec{E}) = 0; \nabla \bullet \vec{B} = \nabla \bullet (\vec{\Lambda} \vec{H}) = 0;$ $\vec{\Lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{abc} diag(a, b, c) = diag(\frac{1}{bc}, \frac{1}{ac}, \frac{1}{ab})$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum



FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

107

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -j\omega\mu_{0}\vec{\Lambda}_{1}\vec{H} \Rightarrow \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1}\right)^{-1}\nabla \times \vec{E} = -j\omega\mu_{0}\vec{H}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = j\omega\varepsilon_{0}\vec{\Lambda}\vec{E} \Rightarrow \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1}\right)^{-1}\nabla \times \vec{H} = j\omega\varepsilon_{0}\vec{E}$$

$$\therefore \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1}\right)^{-1}\nabla \times \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(\nabla \times \vec{E}\right) = \omega^{2}\mu_{0}\varepsilon_{0}\vec{E} = k_{0}^{2}\vec{E}$$

$$\nabla \bullet \vec{D} = \nabla \bullet \left(\vec{\Lambda}\vec{E}\right) = 0; \nabla \bullet \vec{B} = \nabla \bullet \left(\vec{\Lambda}\vec{H}\right) = 0;$$

$$\vec{\Lambda}_{1} = \frac{1}{abc}diag\left(a,b,c\right) = diag\left(\frac{1}{bc},\frac{1}{ac},\frac{1}{ab}\right)$$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

• For spatially constant case,

$$\vec{\Lambda}_{1}^{-1} \left(\nabla \times \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1}^{-1} \left(\nabla \times \vec{E} \right) \right) \right) = \omega^{2} \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0} \vec{E} = k_{0}^{2} \vec{E}$$

Or,- $\left(\nabla \cdot \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1} \nabla \right) \right) \vec{E} + \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1} \vec{E} \right) \right) = k_{0}^{2} \vec{E}$
 $\because \nabla \cdot \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1} \vec{E} \right) = 0$
Or,- $\left(\nabla \cdot \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1} \nabla \right) \right) \vec{E} = k_{0}^{2} \vec{E}$
• For plane wave propagation, we have
 $- \left(\left(- j\vec{k} \right) \cdot \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1} \left(- j\vec{k} \right) \right) \right) \vec{E} = \vec{k} \cdot \left(\vec{\Lambda}_{1} \vec{k} \right) \vec{E} = k_{0}^{2} \vec{E}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{k_x^2}{bc} + \frac{k_y^2}{ac} + \frac{k_z^2}{ab} = k^2$$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

- We will consider the problem of a plane wave
 - obliquely incident on a plane interface
 - between two lossy conducting regions
- We will first consider two particular cases of this problem as follows:
 - the electric field is in the xz plane (parallel polarization)
 - the electric field is in normal to the xz plane (perpendicular polarization)



- Any arbitrary incident plane wave can be expressed
 - as a linear combination of these two principal polarizations
- The plane of incidence is that plane containing
 - the normal vector to the interface and
 - the direction of propagation vector of the incident wave



- For Fig., this is the xz plane
- For perpendicular polarization (TE),
 - electric field is perpendicular to the plane of incidence
- For parallel polarization (TM),
 - electric field is parallel to the plane of incidence



Fig. Oblique incidence of plane EM wave at a media interface

Electromagnetic Field Theory by R. S. Kshetrimayum

113

Digression: Plane wave reflection from media interface at oblique incidence Perpendicular polarization (TE):

- In this case, electric field vector is perpendicular to the xz plane,
- Hence, it will have component along the y-axis
- Since the electric field is transversal to the plane of incidence
- They are also known transverse electric (TE) waves





- Let us assume that the incident wave propagates in the first quadrant of xz plane without loss of generality and
- $\vec{\gamma}_1^i$ (incident propagation vector) makes an angle θ_i with the normal (see Fig. 6.6 (a))

 $\vec{\gamma}_1^i \bullet \vec{z}' = (\gamma_1 \cos \theta_i \hat{z} + \gamma_1 \sin \theta_i \hat{x}) \bullet (z\hat{z} + x\hat{x}) = \gamma_1 \cos \theta_i z + \gamma_1 \sin \theta_i x = \gamma_1 (z \cos \theta_i + x \sin \theta_i)$

$$\vec{E}_i = E_0 e^{-\gamma_1 (z\cos\theta_i + x\sin\theta_i)} \hat{y}$$

$$:: \nabla \times \vec{E}_i = -j\omega\mu_1 \vec{H}_i \Longrightarrow \vec{H}_i = \frac{\nabla \times \vec{E}_i}{-j\omega\mu_1}$$

Electromagnetic Field Theory by R. S. Kshetrimayum

$$= \frac{1}{-j\omega\mu_{1}} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 0 & E_{0}e^{-\gamma_{1}(z\cos\theta_{i}+x\sin\theta_{i})} & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{E_{0}}{-j\omega\mu_{1}} \left\{ \left(-\frac{\partial e^{-\gamma_{1}(z\cos\theta_{i}+x\sin\theta_{i})}}{\partial z} \hat{x} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial e^{-\gamma_{1}(z\cos\theta_{i}+x\sin\theta_{i})}}{\partial x} \hat{z} \right) \right\} \\ = \frac{E_{0}}{j\omega\mu_{1}} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial e^{-\gamma_{1}(z\cos\theta_{i}+x\sin\theta_{i})}}{\partial z} \hat{x} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial e^{-\gamma_{1}(z\cos\theta_{i}+x\sin\theta_{i})}}{\partial x} \hat{z} \right) \right\} = \frac{E_{0}\gamma_{1}}{j\omega\mu_{1}} e^{-\gamma_{1}(z\cos\theta_{i}+x\sin\theta_{i})} \left\{ -\cos\theta_{i}\hat{x} + \hat{z}\sin\theta_{i} \right\} \\ = \frac{E_{0}}{\eta_{1}} e^{-\gamma_{1}(z\cos\theta_{i}+x\sin\theta_{i})} \left(-\hat{x}\cos\theta_{i} + \hat{z}\sin\theta_{i} \right)$$



- Let us assume that the reflected wave propagates in the second quadrant of xz plane and
- $\vec{\gamma}_1^r$ (reflected propagation vector) makes an angle θ_r with the normal (see Fig. 6.6 (b))

 $\vec{\gamma}_1^r \bullet \vec{z}' = \left(-\gamma_1 \cos \theta_r \hat{z} + \gamma_1 \sin \theta_r \hat{x}\right) \bullet \left(z\hat{z} + x\hat{x}\right) = -\gamma_1 \cos \theta_r z + \gamma_1 \sin \theta_r x = \gamma_1 \left(-z \cos \theta_r + x \sin \theta_r\right)$

 $\vec{E}_r = E_0 \Gamma_{TE} e^{-\gamma_1 (-z\cos\theta_r + x\sin\theta_r)} \hat{y}$

- Note that $\vec{\gamma}_1^r$ and $\vec{\gamma}_1^i$ will have the same magnitude
 - since both the waves are still in the same region I,
 - only their direction changes
- Since the Poynting vector must be negative like the previous case of normal incidence,

$$\vec{H}_r = \frac{E_0}{\eta_1} \Gamma_{TE} e^{-\gamma_1 (-z\cos\theta_r + x\sin\theta_r)} \left(\hat{x}\cos\theta_r + \hat{z}\sin\theta_r \right)$$

• You could also use the Maxwell's curl equation below to find this $\vec{H}_r = \frac{\nabla \times \vec{E}_r}{-j\omega\mu_1}$

Electromagnetic Field Theory by R. S. Kshetrimayum

119

- The transmitted fields will have similar expression with the incident fields except
 - that now the θ_i should be replaced by θ_t (angle that transmitted propagation vector makes with the normal),
 - γ_1 should be replaced by γ_2 (wave is in region II now) and
 - multiplication by (transmission coefficient)
- The transmitted fields are $\vec{E}_t = \hat{y} E_0 \tau_{TE} e^{-\gamma_2 (z \cos \theta_t + x \sin \theta_t)}$

$$\vec{H}_{t} = \frac{\nabla \times \vec{E}_{t}}{-j\omega\mu_{2}} = \frac{E_{0}\tau_{TE}}{\eta_{2}} e^{-\gamma_{2}(z\cos\theta_{t} + x\sin\theta_{t})} \left(-\hat{x}\cos\theta_{t} + \hat{z}\sin\theta_{t}\right)$$

Electromagnetic Field Theory by R. S. Kshetrimayum

Digression: Plane wave reflection from media interface at oblique incidence Table Fields in two regions (oblique incidence: perpendicular polarization)

Region I (lossy medium 1)Region II (lossy medium 2)
$$\vec{E}_i = E_0 e^{-\gamma_1(z\cos\theta_t + x\sin\theta_t)} \hat{y}$$
 $\vec{E}_i = \hat{y} E_0 \tau_{TE} e^{-\gamma_2(z\cos\theta_t + x\sin\theta_t)}$ $\vec{H}_i = \frac{E_0}{\eta_1} e^{-\gamma_1(z\cos\theta_t + x\sin\theta_t)} (-\hat{x}\cos\theta_t + \hat{z}\sin\theta_t)$ $\vec{H}_t = \frac{\hat{y} E_0 \tau_{TE}}{\eta_2} e^{-\gamma_2(z\cos\theta_t + x\sin\theta_t)} (-\hat{x}\cos\theta_t + \hat{z}\sin\theta_t)$ $\vec{H}_r = \frac{E_0 \Gamma_{TE}}{\eta_1} e^{-\gamma_1(z\cos\theta_t + x\sin\theta_t)} (\hat{x}\cos\theta_r + \hat{z}\sin\theta_r)$ $\vec{H}_t = \frac{E_0 \tau_{TE}}{\eta_2} e^{-\gamma_2(z\cos\theta_t + x\sin\theta_t)} (-\hat{x}\cos\theta_t + \hat{z}\sin\theta_t)$ 121Electromagnetic Field Theory by R. S. Kshetrimayum $2/26/2021$

- Equating the tangential components of electric field
 - (electric field has only E_y component and it is tangential at the interface z=0) and
- magnetic field
 - (magnetic field has two components: H_x and H_z and only H_x is tangential at the interface z=0)
- at z=0 gives $e^{-\gamma_1 x \sin \theta_i} + \Gamma_{TE} e^{-\gamma_1 x \sin \theta_r} = \tau_{TE} e^{-\gamma_2 x \sin \theta_t}$

$$\frac{-1}{\eta_1}\cos\theta_i e^{-\gamma_1 x \sin\theta_i} + \frac{\Gamma_{TE}}{\eta_1}\cos\theta_r e^{-\gamma_1 x \sin\theta_r} = -\frac{\tau_{TE}}{\eta_2}\cos\theta_t e^{-\gamma_2 x \sin\theta_t}$$

Electromagnetic Field Theory by R. S. Kshetrimayum

- If E_x and H_y are to be continuous at the interface z = 0 for all x,
- then, this x variation must be the same on both sides of the equations (also known as *phase matching condition*)

 $\gamma_1 \sin \theta_i = \gamma_1 \sin \theta_r = \gamma_2 \sin \theta_t$

 $\Rightarrow \theta_i = \theta_r; \gamma_1 \sin \theta_i = \gamma_2 \sin \theta_t$

- The first is Snell's law of reflection
 - which states that the angle of incidence equals the angle of reflection
- The second result is the Snell's law of refraction
 - (refraction is the change in direction of a wave due to change in velocity from one medium to another medium)
- Also note that refractive index of a medium is defined as

$$n = \frac{c}{v_p} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_r \varepsilon_r \mu_0 \varepsilon_0}}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0}} = \sqrt{\mu_r \varepsilon_r}$$

• hence, for a lossless dielectric media,

$$\frac{\sin \theta_i}{\sin \theta_t} = \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_2 \varepsilon_2}}{\sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1}} = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2} = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}$$

 Now we can simplify above two equations by applying Snell's two laws as follows

$$1 + \Gamma_{TE} = \tau_{TE}$$

$$-\frac{\cos\theta_i}{\eta_1} + \Gamma_{TE} \frac{\cos\theta_r}{\eta_1} = -\frac{\tau_{TE}}{\eta_2} \cos\theta_t$$

Electromagnetic Field Theory by R. S. Kshetrimayum

FDTD: An Introduction • $\frac{k_x^2}{bc} + \frac{k_y^2}{ac} + \frac{k_z^2}{ab} = k^2$ whose solution is $k_x = k\sqrt{bc}\sin\theta\cos\phi, k_y = k\sqrt{ac}\sin\theta\sin\phi, k_z = k\sqrt{ab}\cos\theta$ For incident and reflected fields, it is still the same For xz plane (region II), transmitted fields, we have uniaxial medium hence, $\varphi = 0^{\circ}; \theta = \theta_t; k_x = k\sqrt{bc} \sin \theta_t, k_z = k\sqrt{ab} \cos \theta_t$ • For TE case, $\vec{E}^i = \hat{y} E_0 e^{-jk(x\sin\theta_i + z\cos\theta_i)}$ $\vec{E}^r = \hat{y}\Gamma_{TE}E_0e^{-jk(x\sin\theta_r - z\cos\theta_r)} \quad \vec{E}_t = \hat{y}E_0\tau_{TE}e^{-\gamma_2(z\cos\theta_t + x\sin\theta_t)}$ $\vec{E}^{t} = \hat{y}\tau_{TF}E_{0}e^{-jk\left(\sqrt{bc}x\sin\theta_{t}+z\sqrt{ab}\cos\theta_{t}\right)}$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

126

• From Maxwell's curl equations,

$$\begin{split} \vec{H}^{i} &= \left(-\hat{x}\cos\theta_{i} + \hat{z}\sin\theta_{i}\right)\frac{E_{0}}{\eta}e^{-jk(x\sin\theta_{i} + z\cos\theta_{i})}\\ \vec{H}^{r} &= \left(\hat{x}\cos\theta_{r} + \hat{z}\sin\theta_{r}\right)\frac{E_{0}}{\eta}\Gamma_{TE}e^{-jk(x\sin\theta_{r} - z\cos\theta_{r})}\\ \vec{H}^{t} &= \left(-\hat{x}\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}\cos\theta_{t} + \hat{z}\sqrt{\frac{b}{c}}\sin\theta_{t}\right)\tau_{TE}\frac{E_{0}}{\eta}e^{-jk(\sqrt{bc}x\sin\theta_{t} + z\sqrt{ab}\cos\theta_{t})}\\ \vec{H}_{t} &= \frac{E_{0}\tau_{TE}}{\eta_{2}}e^{-\gamma_{2}(z\cos\theta_{t} + x\sin\theta_{t})}\left(-\hat{x}\cos\theta_{t} + \hat{z}\sin\theta_{t}\right) \end{split}$$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

127

• Tangential components are x- and y-components at z=0 interface $\Rightarrow \theta_i = \theta_r; \gamma_1 \sin \theta_i = \gamma_2 \sin \theta_i$ $\sin \theta_i = \sin \theta_r = \sqrt{bc} \sin \theta_t \qquad \vec{E}^t = \hat{y} \tau_{TF} E_0 e^{-jk(\sqrt{bc}x\sin\theta_i + z\sqrt{ab}\cos\theta_i)}$ $1 + \Gamma_{TE} = \tau_{TE}$ $-\frac{\cos\theta_i}{\eta_1} + \Gamma_{TE} \frac{\cos\theta_r}{\eta_1} = -\frac{\tau_{TE}}{\eta_2} \cos\theta_t$ $\cos\theta_i - \Gamma_{TE} \cos\theta_r = \tau_{TE} \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \cos\theta_t$ $\therefore \Gamma_{TE} = \frac{\cos \theta_i - \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \cos \theta_t}{\cos \theta_i + \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \cos \theta_t} = \Gamma_{TM}$

FDTD by Rakhesh Singh Kshetrimayum

Choose $\sqrt{bc} = 1$, then $\theta_i = \theta_t$

• If we take b/a=1, then b=a, then

 $\Gamma_{TE/TM} = 0$

- Or in other words, a=b=1/c
- Anisotropic medium will be reflectionless