

Runge–Kutta methods and B-series
 John Butcher University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand,
 butcher@math.auckland.ac.nz

ABSTRACT

In 1895 an important discovery was made [1]. It became possible to obtain second order Runge–Kutta methods. A few years later Heun [2] and Kutta [3] raised the order to 3 and 4 and eventually to 5 [4] and 6 [5].

A Runge–Kutta method for a *scalar* initial value problem

$$y'(x) = f(x, y(x)), \quad y(x_0) = y_0, \tag{1}$$

computes $y_1 \approx y(x_0 + h)$ by first computing $Y_i \approx y(x_0 + hc_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. These, and y_1 itself are found in turn from the formulae

$$Y_i = y_0 + h \sum_{j < i} a_{ij} f(x_0 + hc_j, Y_j), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i f(x_0 + hc_i, Y_i)$$

The parameters in these formulae are chosen to get a good approximation. For convenience, they are often arranged in a tableau as follows

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ \vdots \\ c_s \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{cccc} a_{21} & & & \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_{s1} & a_{s2} & \cdots & a_{s,s-1} \end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{cccc} b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_{s-1} & b_s \end{array} \end{array}$$

The number of stages is s and the number of free parameters is $S := \frac{1}{2}s(s+1)$. The aim of Runge, and the people who followed him, was to make the order p of the method as high as possible because this gives greater efficiency if a high accuracy is required.

Two famous examples are

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline & \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \end{array} \tag{Runge, } s = p = 2$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \end{array} \end{array} \tag{Kutta, } s = p = 4$$

The number of conditions for order p will be written as $Q(p)$. We have been following the lead of Runge and the other the pioneers, and considering a single scalar problem. However, for a *vector-valued* problem of arbitrary dimension, there can be more than $Q(p)$ conditions for order p . Denote the number of conditions in the vector case by $P(p)$.

Some of this information is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Order information for a Runge–Kutta method

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$S(s)$	1	3	6	10	15	21	28	36
p	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$Q(p)$	1	2	4	8	16	31	?	?
$P(p)$	1	2	4	8	17	37	85	200

It was once assumed that if $S(s) < Q(p)$ then it is not possible to obtain an order p method with just s stages. But this is not true.

The value of $P(p)$ is now known to be equal to the number of rooted trees with up to p vertices. To illustrate what trees look like, the 8 trees up to order 4 are shown in Table 2

Table 2: Rooted trees to order 4

.	!	v	!	v	v	Y	!
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

The analysis of order is a very simple idea: simply compare the Taylor series for the solution to the initial value problem in powers of h and the Taylor series for the numerical approximation also in powers of h . If the series are identical to within $O(h^{p+1})$ then the order is p .

The theory of B-series provides the missing details. The key fact is that each of the series we need to compare, can be written in terms which exactly correspond to trees.

REFERENCES

1. Runge, C.: Über die numerische Auflösung von Differentialgleichungen. Math. Ann. **46**, 167–178 (1895)
2. Heun, K.: Neue Methode zur approximativen Integration der Differentialgleichungen einer unabhängigen Veränderlichen. Z. Math. Phys. **45**, 23–38 (1900)
3. Kutta, W.: Beitrag zur näherungsweise Integration totaler Differentialgleichungen. Z. Math. Phys. **46**, 435–453 (1901)
4. Nyström, E.J.: Über die numerische Integration von Differentialgleichungen. Acta Soc. Sci. Fennicae **50** (13), 1–55 (1925)
5. Huta, A.: Une amélioration de la méthode de Runge–Kutta–Nyström pour la résolution numérique des équations différentielles du premier ordre. Acta Fac. Nat. Univ. Comenian. Math. **1**, 201–224 (1956)