

RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

| | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------|
| Registration Number (पंजीकरण संख्या) : | IITGW/R/2019/50168 | Date of Receipt (प्राप्ति की तारीख) : | 22/11/2019 |
| Type of Receipt (रसीद का प्रकार) : | Online Receipt | Language of Request (अनुरोध की भाषा) : | English |
| Name (नाम) : | sanjeev kumar | Gender (लिंग) : | Male |
| Address (पता) : | Dr. Sanjeev Kumar, N-Block Ground Floor, Dept. of BSBE, IIT Guwahati, Assam, Pin:781039 | | |
| State (राज्य) : | Assam | Country (देश) : | India |
| Phone Number (फोन नंबर) : | +91-9706383155 | Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) : | +91-9706383155 |
| Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) : | sanjeevbiotek@gmail.com | | |
| Status (स्थिति)(Rural/Urban) : | Urban | Education Status : | |
| Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? (क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : | No | Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) : | Indian |
| Amount Paid (राशि का भुगतान) : | 10) (original recipient) | Mode of Payment (भुगतान का प्रकार) : | Payment Gateway |
| Request Pertains to (अनुरोध निम्नलिखित संबंधित है) : | Dilip Boro | | |
| Information Sought (जानकारी मांगी): | <p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>With reference to the earlier appeal with registration no IITGW/R/2019/50155 (a copy attached). I want to say that, whatever the justification has been given by the concerned authority in my earlier appeal is not valid.</p> <p>As per the rule of MHRD, Department of Higher Education (F. N. 33-9/2011-TSIII), It is clearly mentioned that the preceding degree starts from Graduation onward which include BSc, Msc/MTech, PhD etc. rather not from SSC, HSC, Bsc, MSc/Mtech, PhD (Proper document attached with highlighted point, sl. no. 6 of PDF)</p> <p>The authority has given me reason as below which is completely invalid as per the MHRD rules:</p> <p>Dr Sanjeev Kumar could not qualify as per the departmental criteria. All preceding degrees should be with first class or 60 percent marks. However, he secured less than 60 percent in H.S.</p> <p>In addition to this, Now, Please let me know, at this stage what should I do. I lost one of the great opportunity for acquiring higher education at IIT Guwahati only due to misconduct by the scrutiny committee of the BSBE department at IIT Guwahati.</p> | | |

Regards,
Dr. Sanjeev Kumar
IIT Guwahati

Print

Save

Close

Select Language: [Public Authorities Available](#)

RTI Online

Version 2.0

An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

[Submit Request](#)
[Submit First Appeal](#)
[View Status](#)
[User Manual](#)
[FAQ](#)
[My Account](#)
[Login History](#)
[Feedback](#)

[Final Status of IITGW/R/2019/50155](#)

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Applicant Name | sanjeev kumar |
| Date of receipt | 17/10/2019 |
| Request Filed With | Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati |
| Text of Application | <p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>With due respect I want to say that I had submitted an application for Institutional Post doctoral Fellowship for the session November 2019 at Department of Biosciences & Bioengineering IIT Guwahati. The list of shortlisted candidates for the interview has been decided. I could not find my name in that list. I was fulfilling all the essential eligibility criteria mentioned in the advertisement. Therefore, I want to know, for which reason, my application was rejected. Please find the application details below.</p> <p>Application Ref No : IPDF/2019-20/NOV/BSBE/00013</p> <p>Kindly specify the reasons.</p> <p>Thanking you, Dr. Sanjeev Kumar</p> |
| Request document (if any) | document not provided |
| Status | REQUEST DISPOSED OF as on 15/11/2019 |
| Date of Action | 15/11/2019 |
| Remarks | Reply :- Dr Sanjeev Kumar could not qualify as per the departmental criteria All preceding degrees should be with first class or 60 percent marks. However, he secured less than 60 percent in H.S |
| Print | |

[Home](#) | [National Portal of India](#) | [Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC](#) | [FAQ](#)

Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi

F.No.33 - 9 / 2011 - TS.III
Government of India
Ministry of Human Resource Development
Department of Higher Education
*_*_*_*_*



Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi,
dated, the 16th April, 2019

To

The Directors
of all the National Institutes of Technology (NITs)
and Director, IEST, Shibpur.

Subject:- Implementation of Recruitment Rules for Faculty of NITs and IEST - issue of clarifications as per recommendations of the Oversight Committee - regarding.

Sir \ Madam,

I am directed to refer to this Ministry's Order of even number dated 15th May, 2018 vide which an Oversight Committee was constituted under the Chairmanship of Prof. Sivaji Chakravorti, Director, National Institute of Technology, Calicut (Kerala) to look into further issues / anomalies, which are not yet covered / addressed in the revised Recruitment Rules (RRs) notified for Faculty on 24th July, 2017 and issued on 20th December, 2017 for Non-Faculty staff of the National Institutes of Technology (NITs) and Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology (IEST), Shibpur (West Bengal).

2. At the same time, the Directors of all the NITs and IEST, Shibpur were requested to forward the left out anomalies / issues in the RR to the Chairman of the Oversight Committee. Accordingly, the Oversight Committee received suggestions / representation from various NITs and IEST, Shibpur. The Oversight Committee has looked into the issues / anomalies, which are not yet covered / addressed in the revised Recruitment Rules of Faculty and Non-Faculty staff and has submitted its reports in respect of faculty of NITs and IEST, Shibpur on 27th October, 2018.

3. The observations pointed out by this Ministry were further discussed in the Oversight Committee meeting held on 19th January, 2019. The recommendations submitted by the Oversight Committee on 27th October, 2018 and 19th January, 2019, respectively, have been examined in this Ministry. The recommendations of the Oversight Committee are divided into two categories viz. (i) clarifications on existing RRs and (ii) amendments in RRs notified on 24th July, 2017. With the approval of the competent authority it has been decided

R
25/4/19

25/4/19
K. Rajan

to issue clarifications, as per recommendations of the Oversight Committee, on the following points in the first instance:-

| S. No. | Clarifications sought by NITs / Faculties of NITs | Comments of the Oversight Committee (OSC) | Decision |
|--------|--|--|---|
| 1. | Clarification on "One-time measure" | One-time measure means completion of first round of recruitment process for respective faculty positions after amendment in Statutes dated 24 th July 2017 and subsequent approval of the process by the BoG of respective Institutes. [amended on 19.01.2019] | Accepted. |
| 2. | Whether experience as Post-Doctoral Fellow is to be considered or not. | International / national Post Doctoral Fellowships offered by National Agencies of respective countries will be considered. Post Doctoral Fellowships offered by Institutions which are in QS / THE World Ranking upto 500 will be considered. "Experience as Post Doctoral Fellow will be considered for appointment to the post of Assistant Professor (AGP 7000 and 8000)" [amended on 19.01.2019]. | Accepted. |
| 3. | Clarification on Cumulative Credit Points | Amendment proposed on 19.01.2019 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Credit Points mentioned at Sl.No.4 of the Table | The points, which are now non-exhaustible, in the existing RRs (2017), are as follows:- |

K. Rajan

| S. No. | Clarifications sought by NITs / Faculties of NITs | Comments of the Oversight Committee (OSC) | Decision | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|----------------|----------|----------------|----|---|--|----|----------------------|---|----|--|--|----|--|--|-----|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | S. No. | Credits points | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <p>on Credit Point System given in Schedule E of the Statutes 23 (5) (a) are now non-exhaustible credit points.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Credit Points mentioned at Sl.No.5 of the Table on Credit Point System given in Schedule E of the Statutes 23 (5) (a) are exhaustible credit points, i.e. after last appointment. <p>The rest are Exhaustible Credit Points at every level of direct recruitment.</p> | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Activity</th> <th>Credits points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>One external Sponsored R&D Projects completed or ongoing / Patent granted</td> <td>8 / project or 8 / patent as inventor (In case of more than one person in a Project, the Principal Investigator gets 5 credit points and the rest to be divided equally among other members)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Consultancy projects</td> <td>2 Credit points @ Rs.5 lakhs of consultancy, subject to maximum of 10 Credit points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Ph.D. completed (including thesis submitted cases)</td> <td>8 per Ph.D. student. (In case there are more than one supervisor, then the Guide (1st Supervisor) gets 5 credit points per student and the rest to be divided equally among other supervisor(s))</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>One Journal papers in SCI / Scopus (Paid Journals not allowed)</td> <td>4 per paper since the last promotion. First author/Main supervisor will get 2 and rest will be divided among others.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21.</td> <td>Fellow FNA, FNAE, FASc, FNASC</td> <td>10 credit points</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The rest Credit Points mentioned in Schedule E are Exhaustible Credit Points at every level of direct recruitment.</p> | S. No. | Activity | Credits points | 1. | One external Sponsored R&D Projects completed or ongoing / Patent granted | 8 / project or 8 / patent as inventor (In case of more than one person in a Project, the Principal Investigator gets 5 credit points and the rest to be divided equally among other members) | 2. | Consultancy projects | 2 Credit points @ Rs.5 lakhs of consultancy, subject to maximum of 10 Credit points | 3. | Ph.D. completed (including thesis submitted cases) | 8 per Ph.D. student. (In case there are more than one supervisor, then the Guide (1 st Supervisor) gets 5 credit points per student and the rest to be divided equally among other supervisor(s)) | 4. | One Journal papers in SCI / Scopus (Paid Journals not allowed) | 4 per paper since the last promotion. First author/Main supervisor will get 2 and rest will be divided among others. | 21. | Fellow FNA, FNAE, FASc, FNASC | 10 credit points | |
| S. No. | Activity | Credits points | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | One external Sponsored R&D Projects completed or ongoing / Patent granted | 8 / project or 8 / patent as inventor (In case of more than one person in a Project, the Principal Investigator gets 5 credit points and the rest to be divided equally among other members) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Consultancy projects | 2 Credit points @ Rs.5 lakhs of consultancy, subject to maximum of 10 Credit points | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Ph.D. completed (including thesis submitted cases) | 8 per Ph.D. student. (In case there are more than one supervisor, then the Guide (1 st Supervisor) gets 5 credit points per student and the rest to be divided equally among other supervisor(s)) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | One Journal papers in SCI / Scopus (Paid Journals not allowed) | 4 per paper since the last promotion. First author/Main supervisor will get 2 and rest will be divided among others. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21. | Fellow FNA, FNAE, FASc, FNASC | 10 credit points | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Carry forward of Credit Points. | For any movement from one position to other, if the Exhaustible Credit Points are more than the minimum required | <p>It has been decided to accept the clarification with following illustration:-</p> <p>Assistant Prof. to</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

K. Rajan

| S. No. | Clarifications sought by NITs / Faculties of NITs | Comments of the Oversight Committee (OSC) | Decision |
|--------|---|--|---|
| | | <p>Credit Points for the selected position, then differential Credit Points from the Exhaustible Credit Points shall be carried forward to the Exhaustible component only.</p> <p>Illustration: Assistant Prof. to Associate Prof.: Minimum Required Credit Points: 50</p> <p>i. For a candidate having 20 Non-exhaustible Credit Points and 60 Exhaustible Credit Points, carried forward Credit Points will be $20 + (60 - 50) = 30$</p> <p>ii. For a candidate having 40 Non-exhaustible Credit Points and 40 Exhaustible Points, carried forward Credit Points will be $40 + 0 = 40$.</p> | <p>Associate Prof. Minimum Required Credit Points: 50</p> <p>i. For a candidate having 20 Non-exhaustible Credit Points And 60 Exhaustible Credit Points, carried forward Credit Points will be $20 + (60 - 50) = 30$</p> <p>ii. For a candidate having 30 Non-exhaustible Credit Points and 40 Exhaustible Points, carried forward Credit Points will be $30 + (40 - 40) = 30$. Credit Points earned during the period from the last date of submission of application and date of joining, which was not considered in the selection process, shall be carried forward.</p> |
| 5. | Clarification on First class. | <p>As specified by the respective University / Institution. If not specifically mentioned by the University / Institution, then 60% marks or 6.5 CGPA.</p> <p>New entrants are as defined in MHRD letter No. 33-9/2011-TS. III, dated 31st January 2018. Faculty members</p> | <p>The Ministry vide letter dated 31.01.2018 clarified that "the new entrant means a candidate who is not existing faculty of concerned NIT. Therefore, existing faculty will not be considered as new entrant."</p> <p>It has been decided to</p> |

K. Rajan

| S. No. | Clarifications sought by NITs / Faculties of NITs | Comments of the Oversight Committee (OSC) | Decision |
|--------|---|--|---|
| | | appointed in regular pay scale through duly prescribed selection processes will be considered as existing faculty in subsequent selection in the respective Institute. | continue with the same while agreeing to the clarification on first class degree. |
| 6. | Clarification on "Preceding Degrees" | Preceding Degrees mean Bachelors' Degree onwards. | Accepted. |
| 7. | Clarification on "Institution of repute" | <p>Experience (including prior to implementation of NIRF) in the following Institutions will be considered:-</p> <p>i. Fully funded Central Educational Institutions</p> <p>ii. IIMs and other management Institutions ranked by NIRF upto 50 for any two years;</p> <p>iii. State Educational Institutions funded by respective State Governments;</p> <p>iv. Other Educational Institutions ranked by NIRF upto 100 in overall, Universities, Engineering, upto 50 for Pharmacy and 10 for Architecture, for any two years.</p> <p>However, with regard to recommendation on</p> | Accepted. |

K. Rajan

| S. No. | Clarifications sought by NITs / Faculties of NITs | Comments of the Oversight Committee (OSC) | Decision |
|--------|---|--|--|
| | | Institute of repute, the BoGs of respective Institute may take a call on relaxing the criteria, if needed, with recorded justification. | |
| 8. | Clarification on "Book chapter weightage" | As per existing provisions of Statutes. | Accepted. |
| 9. | Carry forward of Credit Points for award of Ph.D. & Paper publication in between date of eligibility & date of joining. | Credit points earned during the period from the last date of submission of application and date of joining, which was not considered in the selection process, shall be carried forward. | Accepted. |
| 10. | Clarification on "Industry of repute" | ACoFAR will decide criteria for respective Institutes. | It has been decided that the Board of respective Institute may define the criteria. |
| 11. | Clarification on Project amount of R&D projects. | As per existing provisions of Statutes. | Accepted. |
| 12. | Distribution of points for patents. | As per existing provisions of Statutes. | Accepted. |
| 13. | Consultancy (Credit Point distribution) | Consultancy amount of 5 lakhs can be in a single assignment or can be in cumulative amount of multiple assignments. | As all the Departments in an Institute doesn't fetch same amount of consultancy, therefore, it has been agreed to have cumulative consultancy amount of Rs.5 lakh in multiple assignments. |
| 14. | Counting of Credit Points on Conference paper since last promotion. | As per existing provisions of Statutes. | Accepted. |
| 15. | To review the | Already taken care of | Accepted. |

K. Rajan

| S. No. | Clarifications sought by NITs / Faculties of NITs | Comments of the Oversight Committee (OSC) | Decision |
|--------|--|--|-----------|
| | comments about since "last promotion" | in Point No.5. | |
| 16. | Clarification regarding the term 'promotion' used in RRs | As per Schedule E of Statute 23(5)(a) Note 1: (1), any change in the AGP in 6 th CPC / Level in 7 th CPC, is through direct recruitment through open advertisement. Therefore, the term "promotion" used in RRs / Guidelines / MHRD communications should be read as "Appointment through Direct Recruitment". | Accepted. |
| 17. | For grant of HAG Scale to Professors: 40% of sanctioned post of Professors | May be replaced with: 40% of total no. of Professors in position. | Accepted. |

4. All the NITs and IEST are advised to place the recommendations of the Oversight Committee (as indicated in para 3 above) before the Board of Governors for its adoption and ensure strict adherence of the instructions.

5. This issues with the approval of the competent authority in the Ministry.

Yours faithfully,

K. Rajan

[K. Rajan]

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Tel: 23384159

Copy to:-

- (i) The Chairperson, Board of Governors of all the NITs and IEST, Shibpur.
- (ii) PS to HRM / PS to MoS (SPS) for kind information.
- (iii) Webmaster, MNIT, Jaipur - with a request to upload the communication on the website of the Council of NITSER.
- (iv) Guard File.